

CTMS Lab Interfaces SIG Teleconference Meeting Minutes

Meeting Date	September 2, 2004		
	4-5 PM EDT		
Attendees:			
	Working group coordinators: Scott Finley (Booz Allen Hamilton)		
	Harshawardhan Bal (Booz Allen Hamilton)		
	Doutisinants		
	Participants:		
	Name	Email	Center
	Bob Lanese	Robert.Lanese@uhhs.com	Case Western
	Joyce Niland	jniland@coh.org	City of Hope
	Jieping Li	lj38@georgetown.edu	Georgetown
	John Speakman	speakman@biost.mskcc.org	MSKCC
	Donald Connelly	don@umn.edu	U. Minnesota
	Becky Boes	boesr@msx.upmc.edu	UPMC
	Sorena Nadaf	s.nadaf@vanderbilt.edu	Vanderbilt
	Bob Morrell	bmorrell@wfubmc.edu	Wake Forest
	Charles Lu	charles.lu@yale.edu	Yale
	Brenda Crocker	crockerbl@upmc.edu	UPMC
	John Quigley	johnq@mail.med.upenn.edu	U Penn
	Marsha Ketcham	mketcham@unmc.edu	University of Nebraska Medical Center
	Oleg Shats	oshats@unmc.edu	University of Nebraska Medical Center
	Oleg Orlats	osnats@anne.eaa	University of Nebraska
	Simon Sherman	ssherm@unmc.edu	Medical Center
Augusta	4. Discussion regarding the goal of this CIC		
Agenda	Discussion regarding the goal of this SIG		
	2. HL7 update		
	3. UMN lab interface		

4. Proposal for future change to teleconference time

General discussion points raised by participants:

The building of a caBIG Laboratory Interface Module based on HL7 version 2.x was perceived to be an arduous undertaking because of the way that HL7v2 is implemented in a site-specific manner.

Donald Connelly gave a presentation entitled, "Lab Testing Ontology: Learning from HL7" and described how HL7 based messaging can be applied to handle laboratory test results.

The complexity of HL7 based messaging led the SIG participants to raise two questions:

- 1. How do we want to represent lab data?
- 2. How do we want to share the lab data with caBIG?

A need to interface the efforts of the Lab Interfaces SIG with the Vocabulary and Common Data Elements (VCDE) Working Group was felt.

The question of how to match lab data to protocols was raised. From the large pool of lab data, a case report form (CRF) could be created as a record of the protocol-required information on each trial subject. But the process of selecting the small subset of data could be arbitrary based on who are how it is done and some individuals felt that it couldn't be entirely automated.

A discussion took place about the responsibilities of the AE SIG and this SIG for the lab-to-toxicity module. It was decided to revisit this issue at the next meeting. It is also necessary to capture data from every test since it is not known in advance what tests may be required as toxicities may arise and it is difficult to determine what is protocol specific.

A request for change to the teleconference time was made by Don Connelly. Alternative dates and times were discussed.